

# Knowledge Based Method to Validate Feature Models

Abdelrahman O. Elfaki, Somnuk Phon-Amnuaisuk, Chin Kuan Ho  
*Center of Artificial Intelligent and Intelligent computing,  
Multimedia University, Cyberjaya,  
Malaysia*

[abdelrahman.osman.06@mmu.edu.my](mailto:abdelrahman.osman.06@mmu.edu.my),

[somnuk.amnuaisuk@mmu.edu.my](mailto:somnuk.amnuaisuk@mmu.edu.my), [ckho@mmu.edu.my](mailto:ckho@mmu.edu.my)



# Topics

- Introduction
- Problem statements
- Extending Feature Models by Variability Notations
- Variation points and variants as a predicates
- Dependency Constraint Rules
- Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models
- Discussions and Comparison with Previous Work
- Conclusion and future work



# Introduction

- To say what are you presenting



# Terminology

- Features are requirement specifications of a software product.
- A feature model is used to describe a software product-line by describing commonalities and differences among software products to be produced from the software product-line.
- A single product line may generate many software products constrained by features in the feature model.

# Terminology

- *Variability*

# Problem Statements

- Features are requirement specifications of a software product.
- What can be improved?

# Proposed methodology

- Features are requirement specifications of a software product.
- What can be improved?



BNF



## Dependency Constraint Rules

1.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{require\_v\_v}(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{select}(y)$
  2.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{exclude\_v\_v}(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{notselect}(y)$
  3.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{require\_v\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{select}(y)$
- $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{require\_v\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(y) \Rightarrow \text{select}(x)$

## Dependency Constraint Rules Cont....

4.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{exclude}_v\_vp(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{notselect}(y)$

$\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{exclude}_v\_vp(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(y) \Rightarrow \text{notselect}(x)$

5.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{require\_vp\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{select}(y)$

## Dependency Constraint Rules Cont....

6.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{exclude\_vp\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{notselect}(y)$

7.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{select}(x) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \Rightarrow \text{select}(y)$

8.  $\exists x \forall y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{select}(y) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \Rightarrow \text{select}(x)$

9.  $\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{notselect}(y) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \Rightarrow \text{notselect}(x)$

## Variation points and variants as a predicates

- type: Describes the type of feature; variation point or variant. *e.g.* : type (view-type, variationpoint) , type (register,variant) .
- variant: Identifies the variant of specific variation point. *e.g.*: variant (view-type, notregister )
- Max : Identifies the maximum number allowed to be selecting of specific variation point. *e.g.* max (payment-by, 4 )

# Extending Feature Models by Variability Notations

- requires-v-v : variant requires variant
- excludes-v-v : variant excludes variant
- requires-v-vp : variant requires variation point
- excludes-v-vp : variant excludes variation point
- requires-vp-vp : variation point requires variation point
- excludes-vp-vp : variation point excludes variation point

## Variation points and variants as a predicates Cont....

- min: Identifies the minimum number allowed to be selecting of specific variation point. *e.g.* min (payment-by, 1 ) .
- common : describe the commonality of specific feature. *e.g.* common (search-name, yes). If the feature is not common, the second slot in the predicate will become No -as example- common (register, no).

## Dependency Constraint Rules Cont....

$$10. \forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{common}(x) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{select}(y) \Rightarrow \text{select}(x)$$

$$11. \forall y: \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{common}(y) \Rightarrow \text{select}(y)$$

$$12. \forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{sum}(y, (x)) \leq \text{max}(y, z)$$

$$13. \forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{select}(x) \Rightarrow \text{sum}(y, (x)) \geq \text{min}(y, z)$$

## Dependency Constraint Rules Cont....

- From these rules we can define a full common variant, variant included in any product, as:

$$\forall x,y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{common}(y) \wedge \text{common}(x) \Rightarrow \text{full\_common}(x)$$



# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models

## 1. Propagation

Selection of variant  $n$ ,  $select(n)$ , is propagated from selection of variant  $x$ ,  $select(x)$ , in three cases:

$\forall x,y,z,n: type(x, variant) \wedge variants(y,x) \wedge select(x) \wedge requires\_vp\_vp(y,z) \wedge type(n, variant) \wedge variant(z,n) \wedge common(n) \Rightarrow select(n).$

$\forall x,n: type(x, variant) \wedge type(n, variant) \wedge select(x) \wedge requires\_v\_v(x,n) \Rightarrow select(n).$

$\forall x,z,n: type(x, variant) \wedge select(x) \wedge type(z, variationpoint) \wedge requires\_v\_vp(x,z) \wedge type(n, variant) \wedge variants(z,n) \wedge common(n) \Rightarrow select(n).$

# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models

## Cont...

### 2. Explanation

Selection of variant  $n$ ,  $select(n)$ , fails due to selection of variant  $x$ ,  $select(x)$ , in three cases:

$$\forall x,y,n: type(x, variant) \wedge select(x) \wedge type(y, variationpoint) \wedge variants(y,x) \wedge type(n, variant) \wedge excludes\_v\_vp(n,y) \Rightarrow notselect(n).$$
$$\forall x,y,z,n: type(x, variant) \wedge select(x) \wedge type(y, variationpoint) \wedge variants(y,x) \wedge variant\ s(z,n) \wedge excludes\_vp\_vp(y,z) \Rightarrow notselect(n).$$
$$\forall x,n: type(x, variant) \wedge select(x) \wedge type(n, variant) \wedge excludes\_v\_v(x,n) \Rightarrow notselect(n).$$



## Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

- In addition to defining the source of error, these rules can be used to prevent the errors. The predicate *notselect(n)* validate users by prevent selection.



## Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

- **Optimization**

This operation returns the output according to a given function or predefined criteria.

Optimization function can be added as a fact

e.g. `price(variant , num)`. And can handel extra function.

# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

## 4. Dead Feature Detection

A variant  $x$  can be a dead feature in 3 cases:

$$\forall x,y,z,n:\text{type}(x,\text{variant})\wedge\text{type}(y,\text{variationpoint})\wedge\text{variants}(y,x)\wedge\text{type}(z,\text{variant})$$
$$\wedge\text{type}(n,\text{variationpoint})\wedge\text{variants}(n,z)\wedge\text{common}(n,\text{yes})\wedge\text{common}(z,\text{yes})\wedge$$
$$\text{excludes\_v\_vp}(z,y)\implies\text{dead\_feature}(x).$$
$$\forall x,y,z:\text{type}(x,\text{variant})\wedge\text{type}(y,\text{variationpoint})\wedge\text{variants}(y,x)\wedge\text{type}(z,\text{variationpoint})\wedge\text{com}$$
$$\text{mon}(z,\text{yes})\wedge\text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(z,y)\implies\text{dead\_feature}(x).$$
$$\forall x,y,n:\text{type}(n,\text{variant})\wedge\text{type}(y,\text{variationpoint})\wedge\text{variants}(y,n)\wedge\text{common}(y,\text{yes})\wedge\text{common}($$
$$n,\text{yes})\wedge\text{type}(x,\text{variant})\wedge\text{excludes\_v\_v}(n,x)\implies\text{dead\_feature}(x).$$

# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

## 5. Inconsistency

The inconsistency (error) can be detected in five cases:

$\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_v}(x, y) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_v}(y, x) \Rightarrow \text{error}.$

$\forall x, y: \text{type}(x, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_vp\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(y, x) \Rightarrow \text{error}.$

$\forall x, y, n, z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{type}(n, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(z, n) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_v}(x, n) \wedge \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{error}.$

# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

$\forall x,y,z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{common}(x, \text{yes}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_vp}(x, z) \wedge \text{requires\_vp\_vp}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{error}.$

$\forall x,y,z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{common}(x, \text{yes}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{variants}(y, x) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_vp}(x, z) \wedge \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{error}.$

Feature models can contain some other complicated forms of inconsistencies like (A requires B) and (B requires C) and (C excludes A). To avoid this complication the following rules were defined:

# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

$\forall x, y, z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_v}(x, y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_v}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{requires\_v\_v}(x, z).$

$\forall x, y, z: \text{type}(x, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_vp\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_vp\_vp}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{requires\_vp\_vp}(x, z).$

$\forall x, y, z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_vp}(x, y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_vp\_vp}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{requires\_v\_vp}(x, z).$

$\forall x, y, z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_v}(x, y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{requires\_v\_vp}(y, z) \Rightarrow \text{requires\_v\_vp}(x, z).$



# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

$\forall x,y,z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_vp}(x,y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_v}(y,z) \Rightarrow \text{excludes\_v\_v}(x,z).$

$\forall x,y,z: \text{type}(x, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(x,y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(y,z) \Rightarrow \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(x,z).$

$\forall x,y,z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_vp}(x,y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{excludes\_vp\_vp}(y,z) \Rightarrow \text{excludes\_v\_vp}(x,z).$

$\forall x,y,z: \text{type}(x, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{type}(y, \text{variant}) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_v}(x,y) \wedge \text{type}(z, \text{variationpoint}) \wedge \text{excludes\_v\_vp}(y,z) \Rightarrow \text{excludes\_v\_vp}(x,z).$



## Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

- Using backtracking mechanism the above rules can solve more complex shapes of inconsistency such as ((A requires B) and (B requires C) and (C requires D) and (D requires F) and (F excludes A)).
- Our proposed method to auto detected error in feature model is better than that suggested by Trinidad (P. Trinidad 2008) because it defines two types of error in feature models.

# Operations on the Automated Analysis of Feature Models Cont...

## 6. Cardinality

$\min(\text{variation point name}, \text{num})$ .

$\max(\text{variation point name}, \text{num})$ .

# Discussions and Comparison with Previous Work

- Automated consistency check among constraints during modeling
- Our proposed method can substantially define and provide an automated mechanism to the following operations:
  - Definition of propagation states
  - Provide explanation: define source of error + guide user to solve it.
  - Detect dead features
  - Detect inconsistency



## Conclusion

- By modeling variability using knowledge base rules we can get both formalized variability specifications, and support selection process within variability more precisely.
- The proposed knowledge base can be used to configure new product from SPL, analyzing SPL and produce error free feature model



## Future Work

- Extend our work using constraint handling rules (CHR) to calculate and obtain all products, calculate variability (the ratio between all product and all variants in the model) , and calculate commonality.
- Develop software tool to support our method.
- Validate our method by applying it to real life case studies from industry.